

Exception to SF 14. Approved by NARS, June 1978

UNCLASSIFIED

1. INSERT ABOVE, CLASSIFICATION, UNCLASSIFIED, OR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
TELECOMMUNICATION MESSAGE

(See reverse side for instructions.)

2. MESSAGE CONTAINS WEAPON DATA?  
("X" appropriate box. Communicator will not  
transmit message unless one box is marked.)

☐ YES

☒ NO

3. USE WHERE REQUIRED

THIS DOCUMENT  
CONSISTS OF 4 PAGES  
NO. OF COPIES, SERIES

FOR COMMUNICATION CENTER USE

MESSAGE IDENTIFICATION

NR: 256

DTG: Z

4. PRECEDENCE DESIGNATION ("X" appropriate box):

FOR NORMAL USE

EMERGENCY USE ONLY

ACTION: ☐ Routine ☒ Priority

☐ Immediate ☐ FLASH

INFO: ☐ (6 Hrs.) ☐ (3 Hrs.)

☐ (30 Mins.) ☐ (ASAP)

5. TYPE OF MESSAGE

☒

Single Address

☐

Multiple Address

☐

Title Address

☐

Book Message

☒

Facsimile

6. FROM

USDOE-NV  
HARRY U. BROWN  
DEP. PROG. MGR., OER  
LAS VEGAS, NV

7.

(Signature of authorizing official)

8. DATE

FEBRUARY 17, 1989

9. TO

J. E. RUDOLPH, MA, HQ (DP-242) GTN  
B-312, 353-3618

COMMUNICATION CENTER ROUTING

69  
Elite

69  
Pica

ATTACHED ARE AGENDA AND COMMENTS FOR MEETING WITH  
RONGELAP REPRESENTATIVES

FEB 17 10 21 AM '89

Rongelap File 3 89  
HARRY BROWN's Files, NV

BE BRIEF-ELIMINATE UNNECESSARY WORDS

10. ORIGINATOR (On separate lines,  
Enter Name, Routing Symbol, &  
Tel. No.)

HARRY BROWN  
OER  
295-1545

11. DERIVATIVE CLASSIFIER  
(Enter Name & Title)

(Signature)

12. If NSI, fill in:

Classified by \_\_\_\_\_  
Original authority

☐ Declassify  
or on \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Review date or event

13. STAMP CLASSIFICATION

UNCLASSIFICATION

14. RESTRICTED DATA, FRD, or NSI STAMP (If Required)

## SUGGESTED AGENDA FOR MEETING WITH RONGELAP REPRESENTATIVE

### DAY 1

1. OPENING REMARKS RUDOLPH/BROWN
2. OVERVIEW OF Pu AS A CONTRIB. TO DOSE MEINHOLD  
LAYMAN'S PRESENTATION ON LATEST Pu  
DATA ANALYSIS  
DISCUSSION. ICRP STANDARDS
3. INFANT DOSE  
LAYMAN'S OVERVIEW AND CONCLUSIONS ROBISON  
DISCUSSION
4. MEDICAL OVERVIEW ADAMS  
(SIMILAR TO THAT GIVEN AT PROG. REVIEW)  
DISCUSSION
5. REVIEW OF MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS  
FOR RONGELAP ROBISON

### DAY 2 DISCUSSIONS

We should take no official position on so called Phase 2. The law says, "If party reviewing data concludes data inadequate to support conclusion on habitability or conclusions not fully supported by data, the RMI shall contract with scientists to undertake complete survey of radiation "relating to habitability of Rongelap Island."

1. This is not our call
2. We maintain Rongelap Island is habitable and stand on our data and analysis
3. The Rongelap people, DOE, the RMI, and the rest of the US Government have always known that certain land areas of Rongelap Atoll are still contaminated and not recommended for habitation. That's old hat and the 177 agreement recognized that.
4. We have found remedial techniques which could be used on those land areas and even Rongelap Island if they want, and made them known to RMI and the Rongelap community.
5. I see no need for Lessard. Our resource people are our call. I want to have Rudolph, Brown, Ray, [REDACTED], Adams, Robison, Meinhold. In addition, a DOE person should chair

this, at least the first day, so there is some order to the communication process. If Franke wants to make a presentation it should be after we have gone through our analysis--perhaps Day 2. The time for them to freewheel with us is after presentation and limited discussion on key issues.